1



On-Site Environmental Review Monitoring Checklist

	e Name:			
Project Prepare	No:er:			
Date Pr	repared:			
	-Up Review Indicated: ☐ YES ☐ NO			
	Environmental Review	Summary of	Dates	
1.	If the Grantee mailed letters to organizations seeking concurr	rence with the project	ct, record below the date	es those letters were
	sent and the dates that any concurrence was received:	Date Sent	Date Received	1
	State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) (Min 30 Days):	:		_
	Tribal Historic Preservation Office (THPO) (Min 30 Days):			_
	U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service (FWS)	:	·	_
	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (ACE)	:		_
	(Other)	:		_
	(Other)	:		_
2.	Did any of the concurrences require any mitigation measures	? \(\sum \text{Yes}	□ No	
	a. If "Yes", list the date that the mitigation measures were	completed or cleared	d:	
3.	Were any comments received by the Grantee during the Publ	ic Comment Period	?	□ No
	a. If "Yes", were they addressed by the Grantee in writing	? □ Yes		N/A
4.	Record the date that the Level of Review Determination Form level of review is indicated:	m (ENV-LRD) was	signed by the Certifying	g Officer and which
	□ 1. Exempt		Date Signed:	
	☐ 2A. Categorically Excluded Subject to (CEST)		Date Signed:	
	☐ 2B. Categorically Excluded Subject to Converts to I	Exempt	Date Signed:	
	☐ 3. Categorically Excluded Not Subject to (CENST)		Date Signed:	
	☐ 4. Environmental Assessment (EA)		Date Signed:	
	☐ 5. Environmental Impact Statement (EIS)		Date Signed:	
5.	List the date that the Notice was published/posted: CEST - Notice of Intent to Request Release of Funds EA & EIS - Finding of No Significant Impact <u>AND</u> Notice -No Notices required for the following categories: 1, 2B	(NOI/RROF) e of Intent to Reque	est Release of Funds (Co	ombined Notice)
6.	List the release date on the Notice of Removal of Grant Cond	litions (ENV-NRGC	C):	
7.	List the date that construction began on the project:			



ADECA							
Part A: Level of Review Determination (Only one portion of Part A must be completed)							
NOTE: Questions highlighted in Gray only apply to projects funded in 2015 or later							
1. For Exempt activities, (which include administration, environmental studies, planning, engineering and design costs, temporary assistance for imminent threats, etc) ensure that the Environmental Review Record (ERR) contains EACH of the following:							
and romo wang.	Yes	No					
a. A Level of Review Determination Form (ENV-LRD) completed and signed							
b. A Finding of Exemption Form (ENV-FOE) completed and signed							
c. An Other Requirements Checklist Form (ENV-ORC) completed and signed							
d. A copy of the Notice of Removal of Grant Conditions (ENV-NRGC)							
2A. For Categorically Excluded Subject to activities, (which include rehabilitation of water and sewer lines,	streets,						
drainage structures, senior centers, housing, etc) ensure that the Environmental Review Record (ERR) contain the following:	ns <i>EACI</i>	<u>H</u> of					
	Yes	No					
a. A Level of Review Determination Form (ENV-LRD) completed and signed							
b. A Categorically Excluded Subject to Form (ENV-CEST) completed and signed							
i. Was the ENV-CEST signed after all concurrences were received?							
c. An Other Requirements Checklist Form (ENV-ORC) completed and signed							
 d. A copy of the Notice of Intent to Request Release of Funds (NOI/RROF) i. Was the Notice published/posted after the ENV-CEST was signed? ii. Was the Notice disseminated to the following: 							
1. Interested Individuals and groups (if applicable)							
2. All appropriate Tribal, Local State & Federal Agencies							
3. Local Media							
iii. Was the notice published/posted in compliance with Executive Order 13166 (Language Access Plan) (if required)?							
e. A Request for Release of Funds & Certification Form (ENV-RROFC)							
f. A copy of the Notice of Removal of Grant Conditions (ENV-NRGC)							
2B. For Categorically Excluded Subject to activities that <u>CONVERT</u> to Exempt, (which can include rehabilition and sewer lines, streets, drainage structures, senior centers, housing, etc) ensure that the Environmental (ERR) contains <u>EACH</u> of the following:							
(ERR) contains <u>EACH</u> of the following.	Yes	No					
a. A Level of Review Determination Form (ENV-LRD) completed and signed							
b. A Categorically Excluded Subject to Form (ENV-CEST) completed and signed							
i. Was the ENV-CEST signed after all concurrences were received?							
c. An Other Requirements Checklist Form (ENV-ORC) completed and signed							
d. A copy of the Notice of Removal of Grant Conditions (ENV-NRGC)							
3. For Categorically Excluded Not Subject to activities, (which include economic development (non-constru	action),						
supportive services, utilities, supplies, etc) ensure that the Environmental Review Record (ERR) contains <u>EACH</u> of the following:							
	Yes	No					
a. A Level of Review Determination Form (ENV-LRD) completed and signed							
b. A Categorically Excluded Not Subject to Form (ENV-CENST) completed and signed							
c. An Other Requirements Checklist Form (ENV-ORC) completed and signed							
d. A copy of the Notice of Removal of Grant Conditions (ENV-NRGC)							



		Yes	
a.	A Level of Review Determination Form (ENV-LRD) completed and signed		
b.	An Environmental Assessment Form (ENV-HUDEA) completed and signed		
	i. Was the Statutory Checklist section of the form complete with documentation?		
	ii. Were the following sections completed on the form:		
	1. Existing Conditions & Trends?		
	2. Environmental Assessment Factors (Chart)?		
	3. Alternatives/No Action Alternative?		
	4. Determination?		
	iii. In the Environmental Assessment Factors section, was there any indication that the		
	factors were not properly addressed or that raised questions about the appropriateness	_	
	of the Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) determination or the reasonableness		
	of the mitigation measures proposed?		
	iv. Was a Finding of No Significant Impact indicated in the Determination section? (if		
	"No" an EIS is required)		
	1. Was the form signed and dated <u>after</u> all concurrences had been received?		
c.	An Other Requirements Checklist (ENV-ORC) completed and signed?		
d.	A copy of the Finding of No Significant Impact and Notice of Intent to Request Release of Funds		
	(Combined Notice)		
	i. Was the Combined Notice published/posted after the ENV-HUDEA was signed?		
	ii. Was the Notice disseminated to the following:		
	1. Interested Individuals and groups (if applicable)		
	2. All appropriate Tribal, Local State & Federal Agencies		
	3. Local Media		
	iii. Was the notice published/posted in compliance with Executive Order 13166 (Language		
	Access Plan) (if required)?		
e.	A Request for Release of Funds & Certification (ENV-RROFC)		
f.	A Notice of Removal of Grant Conditions (ENV-NRGC)		
	r Environmental Impact Statements (EIS), (which is required when a project is determined to have a proficant impact on the human environment) a specialized visit is required.	otential	ly
sig	infleant impact on the namun environment, a specialized visit is required.		
sig	a. Is there an indication that an Environmental Impact Statement is required? (If "Yes" contact the	Yes	



Part B: Other Requirements Checklist Documentation (Applies to all projects)

1.	should	ain Insurance (24 CFR 58.6(a) & (b)) – The Environmental Review Record (ERR) contain <u>ONE</u> of the following types of documentation (please select which entation was in the ERR):	Comments/Documentation CDBG, ESG & HOPWA funded projects are exempt
		Documentation supporting the determination that the project does not require flood insurance or is excepted from flood insurance.	from Flood Insurance Requirements per 42 USC 4003(a)(3), 24 CFR 58.6(a)(3) & 55.1(b)(1)
2.	should	Barrier Resources (24 CFR 58.6(c)) – The Environmental Review Record (ERR) contain <u>ONE</u> of the following types of documentation (please select which entation was in the ERR):	
		A map that establishes the Coastal Barrier Resource System Units location in relation to the project location.	
		A map issued by the FWS or FEMA (or from their website) showing that the proposed project is not located within a designated Coastal Barrier Resource System Unit. The FEMA map panel number must be cited within the ERR.	
		Approval of the project from the FWS, including all prior correspondence.	
3.	Record	y Clear Zones & Clear Zones (24 CFR 58.6(d)) - The Environmental Review (ERR) should contain ONE of the following types of documentation (please select locumentation was in the ERR):	
		Documentation that the rule is not applicable to the proposed project (i.e., acquisition of an existing building, "minor" rehabilitation, or emergency action).	
		A map showing the site is not within 15,000 feet of a military airport or within 2,500 feet of a civilian airport.	
		If within 15,000 feet of a military airport, a map showing the site is not within a designated APZ (Accident Potential Zone) or a letter from the airport operator stating so.	
		If within 2,500 feet of a civilian airport, a map showing the site is not within a designated RPZ/CZ (Runway Protection Zone/Clear Zone) or a letter from the airport operator stating so.	
		If the site is in a designated APZ, documentation of consistency with DOD (Department of Defense) Land Use Compatibility Guidelines.	
		If the site is in a designated RPZ/CZ and the project does not involve any facilities that will be frequently used or occupied by people, and a determination of such and a written assurance from the airport operator that there are no plans to purchase the land as part of a RPZ/CZ program.	
		If the site is in a designated RPZ/CZ and the project involves the acquisition or sale of an existing property that will be frequently used or occupied by people, a copy of the notice to prospective buyers signed by the prospective buyer.	



Part C: Statutory Checklist – Related Federal Laws & Authorities at 24 CFR 58.5 (Applies to Levels of Review 2A, 2B, & 4)

1	1. Historic Preservation [36 CFR Part 800] – The Environmental Review Record (ERR) must					
	indicat docum	Comments/ Documentation				
	No Hi	storic Properties Effected:				
		Documentation of the Programmatic Agreement (PA) between SHPO and ADECA confirming the activities are covered by the PA.				
	Letter from SHPO (or THPO on tribal lands) that concurs with HUD's or the Responsible Entity's determination of "no historic properties affected."					
		With documentation on 1) the undertaking and the Area of Potential Effect (APE) (including photographs, maps, and drawings, as necessary), 2) steps taken to identify historic properties, 3) the basis for determining that no historic properties are present or affected, 4) evidence of tribal consultation if required; and 5) copies or summaries of any views provided by consulting parties and the public.				
		If the SHPO has not responded to a properly documented request for concurrence within 30 days of receipt of the request, document the request and lack of response as part of the record.				
	No Ad	lverse Effect:				
		Letter from SHPO (or THPO on tribal lands) that concurs with HUD'S or the Responsible Entity's finding of "no adverse effect."				
		With documentation on 1) the undertaking and the APE (including photographs, maps, and drawings, as necessary), 2) steps taken to identify historic properties, 3) affected historic properties (including characteristics qualifying them for the NR), 4) the undertaking's effects on historic properties, 5) why the criteria of adverse effect were not applicable (§800.5), 6) evidence of tribal consultation if required, and 7) copies or summaries of any views provided by consulting parties and the public.				
		If the SHPO has not responded to a properly documented request for concurrence within 30 days of receipt of the request, document the request and lack of response as part of the record.				
	Adver	rse Effect:				
		Notification of adverse effect sent to Advisory Council on Historic Preservation.				
		Letter from SHPO (or THPO on tribal lands) that concurs with a finding of "adverse effect."				
		With documentation on 1) the undertaking and the APE (including photographs, maps, and drawings, as necessary), 2) steps taken to identify historic properties, 3) affected historic properties (including characteristics qualifying them for the NR), 4) the undertaking's effects on historic properties, 5) why the criteria of adverse effect are applicable (§ 800.5), 6) evidence of tribal consultation if required, and 7) copies or summaries of any views provided by consulting parties and the public.				
		A Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) or a Programmatic Agreement (PA) signed by the HUD official or Responsible Entity, SHPO/THPO, the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation if participating, and other signatory and concurring parties.				
		If resolution is not reached in an MOA or PA, provide correspondence and comments between the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation and HUD Secretary (for Part 50 projects) or Responsible Entity's chief elected local official (for Part 58 projects).				



2.	Record	Consultation [Notice CPD-12-006, issued June 15, 2012] – The Environmental Review (ERR) must contain evidence that Tribal Consultation was considered or completed and ontain <i>ONE</i> of the following types of documentation:	Comments/ Documentation
		A completed "When to Consult with Tribes" Checklist (found in the appendix of HUD Notice CPD-12-006)	
		A letter from Tribal Historic Preservation Officer (THPO) concurring with the project or a letter documenting that "No Response" was received from THPO.	
3.	(ERR) s	lain Management [24 CFR Part 55, EO 11988] – The Environmental Review Record should contain evidence whether or not a project is located in a Floodplain or could have et on a Floodplain. Indicate the project's location and <u>ONE</u> of the types of entation provided:	Comments/ Documentation
	The pro	oject <u>IS NOT</u> located in or <u>DOES NOT</u> impact a Floodplain:	
		A FEMA map showing the project is not located in a Special Flood Hazard Area.	
	The pro	oject <u>IS</u> located in or <u>DOES</u> impact a Floodplain:	
		Documentation supporting the determination that an exception at 55.12(c) applies.	
		A FEMA map showing the project is located in a Special Flood Hazard Area along with documentation of the 8-Step Process and required notices. If the 5-Step Process is applicable, provide documentation of the 5-Step Process and indicate the applicable citation. If the 8-Step Process is inapplicable, indicate the applicable citation and document the determination. [55.20]	
4.	(ERR) 1	ds Protection [24 CFR Part 55, EO 11990] – The Environmental Review Record must contain evidence whether or not a project is located in a Wetland or could have an n a Wetland. Indicate the project's location and <u>ONE</u> of the types of documentation d:	Comments/ Documentation
	The pro	oject <u>IS NOT</u> located in and <u>DOES NOT</u> impact a Wetland:	
		A map or other relevant documentation (i.e. concurrence with Fish & Wildlife Service, USDA/NRCS National Soils Survey and/or U.S. Army Corp of Engineers) supporting the determination that the project does not impact an on- or off-site wetland.	
		Documentation supporting the determination that an exception at 55.12(a)(3), 55.12(a)(4), 55.12(c)(3), 55.12(c)(7), or 55.12(c)(10) applies.	
		Documentation supporting the determination that the project does not involve new construction (as defined in Executive Order 11990), expansion of a building's footprint, or ground disturbance.	
	The pro	oject <u>IS</u> located in or <u>DOES</u> impact a Wetland:	
		A completed 8-Step Process, including a map and the early and final public notices.	



5.	Record Manage provide	Comments/ Documentation	
		A map indicating that the project is not located in the CZMA.	
		A Federal consistency determination from the state coastal commission, including a description of any necessary mitigation.	
6.	contain	evidence that the project's location, in relation to Sole Source Aquifers, was red. Indicate which <u>ONE</u> of the types of documentation was provided:	Comments/ Documentation
	\boxtimes	Documentation, including a map, showing that the project site is not on a sole source aquifer.	
		A determination that the project consists solely of acquisition, leasing, or rehabilitation of existing buildings.	There are NO Sole Source Aquifers located in the state of Alabama.
		Documentation showing that a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) or agreement with the EPA excludes your project from further review.	Map must be included in ERR.
		Documentation that EPA has reviewed and commented on the proposed action within an SSA and a description of any mitigation measures, if necessary.	
7.	evidenc	gered Species [50 CFR 402] – The Environmental Review Record (ERR) must contain the that <u>ONE</u> of the following determinations has been made the documentation ing the decision:	Comments/ Documentation
		No Effect , including a determination that the project does not involve any activities that have a potential to affect species or habitats, evidence that there are no federally listed species in the area, a concurrence letter from U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service or other analysis supporting a No Effect finding.	
		May Affect , Unlikely to Adversely Affect, including all correspondence with the Fish and Wildlife Service or the National Marine Fisheries Service.	
Likely to Adversely Affect, including all correspondence with the Fish and Wildlife Service or the National Marine Fisheries Service.			



contain e	d Scenic Rivers [36 CFR 297] – The Environmental Review Record (ERR) must evidence that the project is not located within ½ mile of a river on the National Wild nic River System (NWSRS) list. Which <u>ONE</u> of the following types of documentation ed as support:	Comments/ Documentation
	Evidence the proposed action is not within ¼ mile of a river in the NWSRS listed below: <u>EACH</u> type of river must be cleared (indicate that each was considered):	
	 □ Wild & Scenic Rivers □ Study Rivers □ Nationwide Rivers Inventory (NRI) 	
	Documentation that contact was made with the Federal (or State) agency that has administrative responsibility for management of the river and that the proposed action will not affect river designation or is not inconsistent with the management and land use plan for the designated river area.	
contain e	lity [40 CFR Parts 6, 51, 93] – The Environmental Review Record (ERR) must evidence that the project is in compliance with Air Quality standards. Indicate which the types of documentation was provided:	Comments/ Documentation
	A determination that the project does not include new construction or conversion of land use facilitating the development of public, commercial, or industrial facilities OR five or more dwelling units.	
	Documentation that the project's county or air quality management district is not in nonattainment or maintenance status for any criteria pollutants.	
	Evidence that estimated emissions levels for the project do not exceed de minimis emissions levels for the nonattainment or maintenance level pollutants.	
	A determination that the project can be brought into compliance with the State Implementation Plan (SIP) through modification or mitigation, including documentation on how the project can be brought into compliance.	
contain e	nds Protection [7 CFR Part 658] – The Environmental Review Record (ERR) must evidence that the project does not adversely affect Farmlands. Indicate which <u>ONE</u> of of documentation was provided:	Comments/ Documentation
	A determination that the project does not include any activities, including new construction, acquisition of undeveloped land, or conversion that could potentially convert one land use to another.	
	Evidence that the exemption applies, including all applicable maps.	
	Evidence supporting the determination that "Important Farmland," including prime farmland, unique farmland, or farmland of statewide or local importance regulated under the FPPA does not occur on the project site.	
	Documentation of all correspondence with NRCS, including the completed AD-1006 and a description of the consideration of alternatives and means to avoid impacts to Important Farmland.	



11.	Comments/ Documentation		
		Documentation that the proposed action does not involve a noise sensitive subject matter.	
		Documentation the proposed action is not within 1000 feet of a major roadway, 3,000 feet of a railroad, or 15 miles of a military or FAA-regulated civil airfield.	
		If within those distances, documentation showing the noise level is Acceptable (at or below 65 DNL).	
		If within those distances, documentation showing that there's an effective noise barrier (i.e., that provides sufficient protection).	
		Documentation showing the noise generated by the noise source(s) is Normally Unacceptable ($66-75$ DNL) and identifying noise attenuation requirements that will bring the interior noise level to 45 DNL and/or exterior noise level to 65 DNL.	
12.	(ERR) r hazardo	ve & Flammable Facilities [24 CFR 51c] – The Environmental Review Record must contain evidence that the proximity to both aboveground storage tanks and us facilities has been analyzed. For <u>EACH</u> of the categories below, mark which type mentation was provided:	Comments/ Documentation
	Indicate storage	which \underline{ONE} of the following types of documentation was included for above ground tanks:	
		A determination that the project does not include development, construction, rehabilitation that will increase residential densities, or conversion.	
		Evidence that within one mile of the project site there are no current or planned stationary aboveground storage containers of more than 100-gallon capacity containing common liquid industrial fuels or of any capacity containing hazardous liquids or gases that are not common liquid industrial fuels.	
		A determination along with all supporting documentation that the separation distance of such containers from the project is acceptable.	
		Documentation of the existing or planned barrier that would serve as sufficient mitigation, including correspondence with a licensed engineer.	
	Indicate facilities	which <u>ONE</u> of the following types of documentation was included for hazardous s:	
		A determination that the project does not include a hazardous facility.	
		A determination along with all supporting documentation that the hazardous facility is located at an acceptable separation distance from residences and any other facility or area where people may congregate or be present.	
		Documentation of the existing or planned barrier that would serve as sufficient mitigation, including correspondence with a licensed engineer.	



	Contamination [24 CFR $58.5(i)(2)$] – The Environmental Review Record (ERR) must n evidence that the project site is not contaminated or has been cleared of minations. Indicate which \underline{ONE} of the types of documentation was provided:	Comments/ Documentation		
	Evidence supporting a determination the hazard will not affect health and safety of the occupants or conflict with the intended use of the site, including any mitigation measures used.			
contai of race	onmental Justice [EO 12898] – The Environmental Review Record (ERR) must n evidence that the project protects the environment and human health fairly regardless e, color, national origin or income. Indicate which <u>ONE</u> of the types of documentation rovided:	Comments/ Documentation		
	Evidence that the site or surrounding neighborhood does not suffer from adverse environmental conditions and evidence that the proposed action will not create an adverse and disproportionate environmental impact or aggravate an existing impact. (Describe how the proposed action will not have a disproportionate adverse impact on minority or low-income populations.)	ADECA's Community & Economic Development Programs		
	Evidence that the project is not in an environmental justice community of concern (demographics, income, etc.) or evidence that the project does not disproportionately	only fund low- to moderate- income level Communities		



Part D: Environmental Review Record (ERR) [58.38]

Answer the following questions about the ERR:			N/A	Comments/ Documentation
1. Has the Grantee established an ERR? [58.38]				
 Briefly describe the Grantee's system for carrying out its environmental review responsibilities (e.g. staff, consultant) 				
3. Is there evidence that the staff person(s) designated by the Grantee lacks knowledge or training on environmental review requirements for ADECA programs?				
4. Is there a separate ERR for each project?			\boxtimes	ADECA funds only one project at a time.
 Do the ERRs reviewed contain project descriptions, including geographic boundaries (where applicable) and reference all activities included as part of the overall project? [§58.38] 				
6. For the time period reviewed, has ADECA received any objections raising				
noncompliance issues about the Grantee? a. If "Yes", were the objections addressed by the Grantee after ADECA forwarded them? [§58.75]				
7. Floodplain/Wetland Management: Where projects were located in floodplains/wetlands, does the ERR contain <u>EACH</u> of the following: a. A copy of the 8/5-step process? [§55.20]				
b. Copies of the <u>PUBLISHED</u> Notices below:				
 i. An Early Notice and Public Review of a Proposed Activity in a 100-year Floodplain ii. A Final Notice and Public Review of a Proposed Activity in a 100-year Floodplain 				
8. For the records reviewed, do the Grantee records show that no grant funds were obligated or spent (other than activities under 24 CFR 58.22(f), 58.34, or 58.35(b)) prior to receipt of the ADECA's "Notice of Removal of Grant Conditions". [§58.22]				
9. For the records reviewed, do the Grantee records show that no physical development activities (e.g. construction) began prior to receipt of the ADECA's "Notice of Removal of Grant Conditions". [§58.22]				
10. If there were projects over a one or more year period, were environmental reviews conducted on the full scope of multi-year activities?			\boxtimes	ADECA does not fund multi-year projects even though a project's length can span multiple years.
11. If projects or activities were a continuation of a previously approved project, was a written decision supporting the continued applicability of the previous environmental review and clearance included in the ERR? (Reevaluation of a previous Environmental Review)				
12. If mitigation measures were required were they included in the ERR as part of the actions pertaining to the environmental review?				